•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/319,842	WESTERMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernesto Garcia	3679	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ernesto_Garcia</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Michael J. Striker</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 25 October 2004	Time: <u>1:30PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		e e italia e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a			· .
Claims discussed: n/a			 .
Prior art documents discussed: n/a			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.	- y ×	,	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the	ne substance
		·	
		,	
Enesto Jane			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicant to fix an issue with the specification as it lacked a description of Figs. 8 and 9. The examiner suggested to take the description provided in the remarks of 6/5/03 and include the description into the specification in an examiner's amendment.